IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:17-01362 Hon. David A. Faber

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

CABELL COUNTY COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:17-01665 Hon. David A. Faber

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY REGARDING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE NUISANCE AND PROXIMATE CAUSE

Defendants respectfully submit the decision of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois in *The People of the State of Illinois v. Johnson & Johnson et al.*, No. 19-CH-10481 (Ill. Cir. Ct. Jan. 8, 2021) (Exhibit A), as supplemental authority relevant to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Re Nuisance ("Nuisance Motion," Dkt. No. 1003–04) and Motion for Summary Judgment on Proximate Causation Grounds ("Causation Motion," Dkt. No. 1114–15).

Like the Plaintiffs in this case, the State of Illinois claimed that Defendants' distribution of FDA-approved prescription opioid medications to state-licensed and DEA-registered dispensers created a public nuisance. The Circuit Court dismissed the State's claims with prejudice, holding

that despite the "tragic consequences" of opioid addiction and abuse, "there is no public nuisance caused by the Defendants' conduct." *See* Ex. A at 9. The Circuit Court held that dismissal was required by the Illinois Supreme Court's decision in *City of Chicago v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp.*, 821 N.E.2d 1099 (Ill. 2004), *id.* at 8–12—a decision discussed at length in Defendants' Nuisance Motion, both as to the distinction between public and private rights and the refusal of most appellate courts to apply public nuisance law to claims involving products, *see* Dkt. No. 1004 at 6 n.6, 11–13.

Nuisance Motion. In Illinois, as in West Virginia, public nuisance requires invasion of a "public right." Ex. A at 9. The Circuit Court held that harm resulting from the use of a legal product does not implicate a "public right," concluding that there is no "common right to be free *from conduct* that creates an unreasonable jeopardy to the public's health, welfare and safety." *Id.* at 10 (emphasis in original). The Circuit Court further noted that "a public right to be free from the threat that others may defy ... laws [banning dangerous uses of otherwise legal products] would permit nuisance liability to be imposed on an endless list of ... distributors ... of manufactured products." *Id.* (quoting *Beretta*, 821 N.E.2d at 1116 (internal quotations omitted)). The Circuit Court thus rejected the State's attempt to hold "distributor[s] of a legal product responsible for the misuse of [] products by others" under a public nuisance theory. *Id.* This further supports Defendants' argument that Plaintiffs cannot establish the elements of a public nuisance claim here. Dkt. No. 1004 at 8–13.

<u>Causation Motion</u>. The Circuit Court also rejected the State's claims on proximate causation grounds, concluding that:

[T]here are too many instrumentalities between the conduct of the ... Distributor Defendants to the alleged harm. ... The fact is that individual pharmacies, doctors, and patients all have to behave poorly to generate the harms alleged by the State. Therefore, the State has failed to establish proximate cause.

Ex. A at 12. As set forth more fully in Defendants' Causation Motion, the same is true here. *See* Dkt. No. 1015 at 4–17. Indeed, Defendants' argument is even stronger here, at the summary judgment stage, where Plaintiffs have failed to produce *any* evidence of a "direct relation" between Defendants' alleged conduct and their alleged injuries. Dkt. No. 1015 at 9–17. As stated by the Circuit Court, there are "many instrumentalities" that intervene "between the conduct of the ... Distributor Defendants" and "the alleged harm." *See id.* at 4–6.

Dated: January 14, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

McKesson Corporation

By Counsel:

/s/ Timothy C. Hester

Timothy C. Hester

Christian J. Pistilli

Laura Flahive Wu

Andrew P. Stanner

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

One CityCenter

850 Tenth Street NW

Washington, DC 20001

Tel: (202) 662-5324

thester@cov.com

cpistilli@cov.com

lflahivewu@cov.com

astanner@cov.com

/s/ Paul W. Schmidt

Paul W. Schmidt

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

The New York Times Building

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York

Tel: (212) 841-1000

pschmidt@cov.com

/s/ Jeffrey M. Wakefield

Jeffrey M. Wakefield (WVSB #3894) jwakefield@flahertylegal.com Jason L. Holliday (WVSB #12749) jholliday@flahertylegal.com FLAHERTY SENSABAUGH BONASSO PLLC P.O. Box. 3843

Charleston, WV 25338-3843 Telephone: (304) 345-0200

AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation

By Counsel:

/s/ Gretchen M. Callas

Gretchen M. Callas (WVSB #7136)

JACKSON KELLY PLLC

Post Office Box 553

Charleston, West Virginia 25322

Tel: (304) 340-1000 Fax: (304) 340-1050 gcallas@jacksonkelly.com

/s/ Robert A. Nicholas

Robert A. Nicholas Shannon E. McClure REED SMITH LLP Three Logan Square 1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 851-8100 Fax: (215) 851-1420 nicholas@reedsmith.com

Cardinal Health, Inc.

smcclure@reedsmith.com

By Counsel:

<u>/s/ Enu Mainigi</u>

Enu Mainigi F. Lane Heard III Jennifer G. Wicht Ashley W. Hardin WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 725 Twelfth Street NW Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-5000 Facsimile: (202) 434-5029

emainigi@wc.com lheard@wc.com jwicht@wc.com ahardin@wc.com

rfranks@cdkrlaw.com drpogue@cdkrlaw.com

Michael W. Carey (WVSB #635)
Steven R. Ruby (WVSB #10752)
Raymond S. Franks II (WVSB #6523)
David R. Pogue (WVSB #10806)
CAREY DOUGLAS KESSLER & RUBY PLLC
901 Chase Tower, 707 Virginia Street, East
P.O. Box 913
Charleston, WV 25323
Telephone: (304) 345-1234
Facsimile: (304) 342-1105
mwcarey@csdlawfirm.com
sruby@cdkrlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that on this 14th day of January, 2021, the foregoing "NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY REGARDING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE NUISANCE AND PROXIMATE CAUSE" was served using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Timothy C. Hester Timothy C. Hester (DC 370707)